

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/800,776	YAMANOUCI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) George Lesmes.

Date of Interview: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4,10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (See Part II Above)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lesmes in order (i) that claim 4 recite proper Markush language, (ii) to ensure that in claim 4 Formula [S-8] recited correct subscript as described in the claim, (iii) to delete period in claim 10 which was other than at the end of the claim and thus improper, and (iv) to more clearly define the substituents of claim 10.